

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/662,358		09/15/2000	Taiji Noda	0819-0423 1724	
22204	7590	11/15/2004		EXAMINER	
NIXON PE	EABODY	, LLP		MAI, A	ANH D
401 9TH ST SUITE 900	REET, N	W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128				2814	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	·			
		09/662,358	NODA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Anh D. Mai	2814	\propto			
The MAILING DATE of	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c		s			
Period for Reply							
THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend	S COMMUNICATION. der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a reply to, the maximum statutory period w ded period for reply will, by statute, tan three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to commur	nication(s) filed on 15 Oc	ctober 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is							
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are a 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>6-10,12,15,21</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are o	Claim(s) 1-10,12,15,21,23 and 24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 6-10,12,15,21,23 and 24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
Applicant may not reques Replacement drawing she	is/are: a) acce t that any objection to the det(s) including the correcti	r. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is obtainer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
·							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr 3) Information Disclosure Statement(spaper No(s)/Mail Date	awing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:)			

Application/Control Number: 09/662,358

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 15, 2004 has been entered.

Status of the Claims

2. Amendment filed October 15, 2004 has been entered. Claims 6 and 23 have been amended. Claims 13, 14 and 22 have been canceled. Claims 1-10, 12, 15, 21, 23 and 24 are pending. Non-elected invention, claims 1-5 have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6-10, 12, 21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burr et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,650,340), in view of Richards, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,786,620) and Sultan (U.S. Patent No. 5,970,353) (all of record).

Application/Control Number: 09/662,358

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claim 6, Burr teaches a method for fabricating a semiconductor device substantially as claimed including:

a first step of forming a gate electrode (125) over a semiconductor region (121) with a gate insulating film (123) interposed therebetween; (see Fig. 4F);

a second step of implanting heavy ions into the semiconductor region (121) on the side of the gate electrode (125) using the gate electrode (125) as a mask, thereby forming a first ion implanted layer (116) of a second conductivity (p), at least upper part of which is an amorphous layer, wherein the heavy ions are indium ions at a dose of 5E12 to 5E13 cm⁻²; (see Fig. 4G, col. 11, lines 57-67);

a third step of implanting ions of a first dopant (n) into the semiconductor region (121), in which the amorphous layer has been formed, using the gate electrode (125) as a mask, thereby forming a second ion implanted layer (131A-B) of a first conductivity type (n); (see Fig. 4H);

a fourth step of conducting a first annealing process to activate the first (116) and second (131A-B) implanted layers, thereby forming an extended high-concentration dopant diffused layer (131A-B) of the first conductivity type (n) though diffusion of the first dopant (n) and a pocket dopant diffused layer (116) of the second conductivity type (p), which is in contact with a bottom portion of the extended high-concentration dopant diffused layer (131A-B), through diffusion of the heavy ions (B, In), respectively,

wherein in the second step, a dislocation loop layer is formed in the lower region of the amorphous layer in the semiconductor region due to the heavy ions implantation, and

in the fourth step, the pocket dopant diffused layer (116) is formed having a peak dopant concentration produced by trapping heavy ions (B, In) in the dislocation loop layer, the pocket

Art Unit: 2814

dopant diffused laver (116) and the extended high-concentration dopant diffused laver (131 A-B) are in contact at the peak dopant concentration of the pocket dopant diffused laver (116) and a side of the extended high-concentration dopant diffused laver (131A-B) located below the gate electrode is not covered by the pocket dopant diffused laver (116). (See Fig. 4F-I, col. 10, line 45-col. 12, line 44).

Thus, Burr is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of implanting heavy ions into the semiconductor region (121) on both sides of the gate electrode (125) and the dose for the indium heavy ions to be more than 5E13 cm⁻² although the dose of 5E13 cm⁻² of Burr have already met the lower limit of the claimed dose.

Note that the claimed dose of more than 5E13 cm⁻² does not appear to be critical.

However, Richards teaches that to reduce the short channel effects (SCE) both source and drain pocket implants are preferably used to simplify the process. (See col. 21, ll. 46-56).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implant heavy ions into the semiconductor region of Burr on both sides of the gate electrode as taught by Richards to simplify the process.

Sultan teaches that a higher indium ions dose 1E14 cm⁻² have been used to amorphize and to form pocket dopant diffusion layer (62). (See col. 6, lines 6-20).

Note that the specification contains <u>no disclosure</u> of either the *critical nature of the* claimed dose of more than 5E13 cm⁻² of any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is aid to based upon particular chosen dimension or upon another variable recited in

a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimension are critical. *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implant indium heavy ions of Burr at the dose as taught by Sultan to amorphize and form pocket dopant diffusion layer.

With respect to the limitation "at least upper part of which is an amorphous layer", the upper part of the semiconductor region (121) is amorphized by the implantation of the heavy ions that form the first ion implanted layer (116). This is well known in the art.

With respect to the functional limitation "the pocket dopant diffused layer is formed having a peak dopant concentration produced by trapping heavy ions in the dislocation loop layer", this is an inherent result of the implantation of heavy ions, such as indium, into the semiconductor region.

With respect to claim 7, the part of the pocket dopant diffused layer (116) of Burr in which the heavy ions (In) are trapped should overlap with a dopant profile of the extended high-concentration dopant diffused layer (131A-B). (See Fig. 4H).

With respect to claim 8, method of Burr further includes:

forming a sidewall spacer (135) on side faces of the gate electrode (125) after the third step has been performed;

implanting ions of a second dopant (n) into the semiconductor region (121) using the gate electrode (125) and the sidewall spacer (135) as a mask, thereby forming a third ion implanted layer (137A-B) of the first conductivity type (n); and

Page 6

conducting a second annealing process to activate the third ion implanted layer, thereby forming a high-concentration dopant diffused layer (137A-B) of the first conductivity type (n), which is located outside of the extended high-concentration dopant diffused layer (131A-B), has a junction deeper than that of the extended high-concentration dopant diffused layer (131A-B) and has been formed through diffusion of a second dopant. (See Fig. 4I).

With respect to claim 9, the heavy ions of Burr are implanted at such an implant energy as forming an amorphous/crystalline interface, through implantation of the heavy ions, at a level equal to or deeper than a range of the first dopant (n⁻) and shallower than a range of the second dopant (n⁺).

With respect to claim 10, method of Burr further includes:

implanting ions (p) into a surface part of the semiconductor region (111), thereby forming a fourth ion implanted layer (121) of a second conductivity type (p) before the first step is performed; and

conducting a third annealing process to activate the fourth ion implanted layer (p), thereby forming a dopant diffused layer (121) to be a channel region. (See Fig. 4B, col. 10, line 64-col. 11, line 13).

With respect to claim 12, the heavy ions of Burr are implanted at such an implant energy (50-70 KeV) as making the range of the heavy ions equal to or deeper than the range (20-60 KeV) of the first dopant (131A-B) and between one to three times as deep as the range of the first dopant (131A-B).

With respect to claim 21, the first dopant of Burr is arsenic.

With respect to claim 23, the first and second dopant of Burr are arsenic.

With respect to claim 24, the fourth ion implanted layer (121) of Burr is formed into the surface part of the semiconductor region (111) by implanting p-type dopant.

Thus, Burr is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of explicitly disclosing p-type dopant includes indium.

However, boron and indium are well known in the art as p-type dopants in silicon system and subsequently used to form p-type layer 116.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form layer 121 of Burr using indium ions because either boron or indium ions are well known p-type dopant.

4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burr '340 as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Tsukamoto (U.S. Patent No. 5,399,506) of record.

Burr and Richards teach conducting the first annealing process using a rapid thermal annealing (RTA) as is well known to those skill in the art.

Thus, Burr and Richard are shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of explicitly disclosing the details of RTA process.

However, Tsukamoto teaches that RTA process is well known in the art including: a semiconductor region is heated up to a temperature between 950 °C and 1050 °C at a rate between 100 °C/sec to 150 °C/sec and then kept at the temperature for a period of time between 1 to 10 seconds.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention perform the RTA process of Burr as taught by Tsukamoto to activate the dopants.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the implant dose of more than 5E13 cm⁻² have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh D. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1710. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 09/662,358 Page 9

Art Unit: 2814

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anh D. Mai

November 1/2/2004